

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/784,174  | 02/24/2004            | Hironobu Saka        | 019970-011          | 9282             |  |
| 21839 759   | 21839 7590 05/11/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P<br>POST OFFICE BOX 1404<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 |                       |                      | KRAMER, DEVON C     |                  |  |
|   |                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   | ,                     |                      | 3683                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   | * |
|-----------------|----------------|---|
| 10/784,174      | SAKA, HIRONOBU |   |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |   |
| Devon C Kramer  | 3683           |   |

| Potoro the Filing of an Annual Drief  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Devon C Kramer   | 3683  | . «                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a)  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  | jected claims.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | ompliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected to:   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | at before or on the date of filing a North of the affidation of th | lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u><br>vit or other evidence i | ot be entered<br>is necessary |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | vercome all rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fa                                    | ils to provide a              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e  | entry is below or attac                                   | hed.                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Applicant's state that there is no motivation to assume to   | <u>hat surface tension is used to retai</u>  | n the grease in the st                                    | orage regions                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| of Matsumoto et al. Note that grease is used in applicati<br>was needed that did not need to adhere to a surface, on<br>greases are on the market, and all have different proper<br>Matsumoto et al that has adequate surface tension to ac   | e may use an oil. Further, it is a kr<br>ties. It would be obvious for one to  | nown fact that a numl                                     | per of defferent              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Other:   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | MANUEL KRAM  |   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |

DEVON C. KRAMINEM

Devon C Kramer Examiner Art Unit: 3683 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050506